WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

_ /. /	SHAMROCK ENVI	RONMENTA	L LANDFILL	
Date: 5/22/23	SHAMROCK ENVI	1- RACK	Storm	v _i
Date Joseph	mspecior. 174		5 v 0 .	
Time: 10:154 We	<i>P</i>	10, 1	-0	
Time: 10-15A We	eather Conditions:	1000	Σ σ	the action of the state
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				

		Yes	No	Notes
CCR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	84)	efaire e	
1,	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	$ \lambda $		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		1	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		1	
9.:	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	人		
10.:	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	atri es i ye yi e esil	入	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Additional Notes:	,
Various de la company de la c	
Selventer de la company de la	